Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lianbo Ma, Northeastern University, China

REVIEWED BY Weixing Su,

Tianjin Polytechnic University, China Peng Sun, Criminal Investigation Police University of China, China Cixing Lv, Dongguan University of Technology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Tao Ku, kutao@sia.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Smart Grids, a section of the journal Frontiers in Energy Research

RECEIVED 25 July 2022 ACCEPTED 22 August 2022 PUBLISHED 09 September 2022

CITATION

Liu X, Nan L, Lin Y, Han J, Liu J and Ku T (2022), Data and model hybrid-driven virtual reality robot operating system. *Front. Energy Res.* 10:1002761. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.1002761

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Nan, Lin, Han, Liu and Ku. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Data and model hybrid-driven virtual reality robot operating system

Xinyu Liu^{1,2,3,4}, Lin Nan^{1,2,3}, Yuexin Lin^{1,2,3}, Jiatong Han⁵, Jinxin Liu^{1,2,3} and Tao Ku^{1,2,3}*

¹Key Laboratory of Networked Control Systems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China, ²Shenyang Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China, ³Institutes for Robotics and Intelligent Manufacturing, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China, ⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁵Department of System and Computer Engineering, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada

To realize efficient remote human-computer interaction of robots, a robot remote operating system based on virtual reality and digital twin is proposed. The system builds a digital twin model based on the Unity 3D engine to establish a connection with the robot entity, assisting the online remote programming and real-time manipulation of the robot unit. The system uses HTC VIVE to build a virtual reality framework. To actualize the mutual drive between the real space and the virtual space, a mathematical model of the robot is constructed through the forward and inverse kinematics of the robot. Through the combination of eye-tracking-based eye movement interaction and the unique controller interaction of virtual reality system, a multi-sensory multi-input collaborative interaction method is accomplished. The method realizes the robot joints driving of users using multiple interaction methods simultaneously, simplifies the robot programming and control procedure, and optimizes the operation experience. Tests demonstrate that the system is capable of effectively providing monitoring, teleoperation and programming services for remote interaction of robots.

KEYWORDS

virtual reality, digital twin, robot, human-computer interaction, teleoperation

Introduction

The digital transformation focused on smart factories and smart production, proposed and continuously promoted by German Industry 4.0 and Made in China 2025, has had a revolutionary influence on industrial firms. Among them, virtual reality technology is a representation of fusion operation technology. A new revolution in human-computer interaction will emerge as the foundation for industrial intelligence, offering businesses substantial technological backing to create smart factories (Wang et al., 2017; Zhan et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2021).

Virtual reality (VR) technology can provide an efficient and immersive experience based on a digital twin model (Liu et al., 2021). VR can be used in robot programming, simulation, and teleoperation. In robot operating VR can visualize tasks, forecast motion,

10.3389/fenrg.2022.1002761

train operators, and realize visual perception of unseen occurrences (Aheleroff et al., 2021; Garg et al., 2021). The teleoperation based on virtual reality technology can be well adapted to the dangerous and complex environment in different application fields, and it is suitable for industries such as power grid and heavy processing. Virtual reality technology has the potential to improve the efficiency and usability of remote teleoperation on the power grid site as well as enabling operators to tackle difficult issues at the power grid site in a safe environment (Gonzalez Lopez et al., 2019; Hecker et al., 2021; He et al., 2022).

The intricacy of robot programming remains one of the most significant obstacles to automation (Pan et al., 2012), and the application of virtual reality technology to robot programming is an effective way to reduce its complexity. The robot can be controlled in a virtual environment using virtual reality technology, giving operators a more comfortable and secure operating experience than on-site control. This technology can also simulate and check angles and singularities that cannot be seen in real scenes, as well as provide a more effective and userfriendly real-time robot display. Through virtual reality technology, various data alter the relationship between humans and machines and aid the intelligent manufacturing system in realising its full potential (Gonzalez Lopez et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2020; Schäffer et al., 2021). Traditional teleoperating systems map objects from operator space and robot space. Operators operate controls through keyboards, mice, controllers, and even through hand tracking and gesture recognition (Peppoloni et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020). However, the traditional teleoperation system still has defects in the amount of information transmission and transmission efficiency throughout the control process, which cannot enable the operator to achieve efficient teleoperation with a high degree of immersion (Togias et al., 2021).

Now the robot teleoperation method using virtual reality has gradually become a research hotspot, and many studies have realized the robot teleoperation using virtual reality (Pérez et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). In the existing research methods, the robot working environment is simulated by building a digital twin model. The operator interacts with the digital twin model in the engine through the virtual reality device. It enables the operator to remotely operate the robot in an immersive manner, achieving an immersive operating experience (Matsas and Vosniakos, 2017; Pérez et al., 2019; Malik et al., 2020). However, its interaction and driving methods still have some defects. Most of the interaction methods still continue the operation method of the traditional digital twin model, and do not take advantage of the characteristics of virtual reality. Some studies require the use of expensive and complex wearable devices that lack convenience (Yanhong et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2021). Most of the robot driving methods are single-joint driving methods driven by script events and inverse kinematics driving methods of robots with multi-joint

linkage. However, this driving method is a two-wire parallel control, and a closed-loop control cannot be formed between the two driving methods, which greatly affects the accuracy and robustness of the driving.

Based on this, a virtual reality-based robot remote operating system is designed and developed using the Unity engine. The digital twin model of the robot working environment and the mathematical model of each joint of the robot are constructed, and the accuracy and robustness of the robot drive are ensured by the joint driving of the mathematical model and the digital twin model. The interaction method based on eye tracking and virtual reality controller driving to realize multi-sensory multi-input collaboration improves the intuition and parallelism of virtual reality interaction method. The system reduces cognitive pressure through information technology, thereby improving efficiency, reducing the complexity of work, and realizing remote control and programming of robots more efficiently.

System structure

The system's overall architecture consists of physical entities, robot mathematical models, digital twin models, and teleoperating systems. The physical entities primarily include the robot, the robot controller, the sensors in the robot, the operating environment, and various data obtained by the sensors.

Physical objects are represented as digital twin in a computer simulation. Model physical things digitally using data to replicate how they act in real-world situations. Control of a physical thing over an information platform is therefore made possible by understanding its current condition. According to the input, the digital twin model will adjust to reflect changes in the physical entity and display the genuine condition of that thing in virtual space practically in real time (Liu et al., 2018; Tao et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2020).

The teleoperation system is an operator-oriented service system in the five-dimensional model, and the digital twin model and various data are presented to the operator through the teleoperation system. The operator can operate and interact with the digital twin model and UI through the teleoperating system (Wei et al., 2021).

There is a two-way driving relationship between the various components of the system, which not only drives the digital twin model through the real-time data of the physical entity, but also controls the physical entity through the interaction of the digital twin model in the virtual space. Its architecture and driving relationship are shown in Figure 1.

The joint motion data of the robot is initially obtained *via* the sensors of the robot before moving ahead to control the digital twin model through a physical entity. Following filtering, a set of data containing only each joint's angle and motion speed is obtained. Each joint of the digital twin model is then driven to move to the desired position, and the world coordinates of the



end effector in the virtual space are then obtained and combined with the forward kinematics solution. To lessen the discrepancy between the physical entity and the digital twin model, the estimated pose data of the end effector are compared, and each joint angle is continually iterated.

The operator employs virtual reality to control the device while driving and controlling the digital twin model *via* the teleoperating system in the reverse drive of the physical entity driven by the digital twin model. The robot mathematical model receives the local coordinates of each joint from the virtual model and converts them. The associated parameters are then retrieved to create control instructions for the robot entity, and the robot is then directed to carry out the corresponding motion.

Digital twin model and robot mathematical model

Digital twin Model

The digital twin model is constructed according to the fivedimensional digital twin model (Tao et al., 2019). Create a digital scene model first with the Unity engine. Use Blender software to convert the 3D model of the scene into fbx format, and import the 3D model of the robot, its industrial environment, and the workpiece that needs to be operated into the virtual scene. By tying the parent-child connection between each component of the robot, the forward kinematics solution technique is constructed. Adjust the local coordinate system of each part of the robot to its potential rotation axis, and configure collision



FIGURE 2 Robot digital twin model in virtual reality environment.

bodies for the robot and its environment to perform various interactions and prevent interference. It guards against safety hazards such as collision with the environment during the driving process of physical entities. Figure 2 displays the robot's digital twin model.

Write scene information processing scripts and scene management scripts, whose life cycle is the identical to the scene life cycle. The scene information processing script is responsible for the communication between the system and the real space, obtains the data of each sensor in the real space, and updates it in real time at a frequency of 50 fps. Then, according to the changes of the updated data and the preset requirements, the data is distributed to each object in the scene by calling each object script interface, and the object is driven to perform corresponding movement, rotation, parentchild relationship conversion and other movements, So as to realize the synchronization of virtual space and real space.

The related scripts are produced following the scene objects and the features of each robot joint, allowing various items to realise corresponding functions under their demands and characteristics. Including, but not limited to, actions like gripping objects, moving down a track, restricting the range of motion, and rotating about a designated rotation axis at a certain speed. Furthermore, depending on certain features, selectively encapsulate it as an object property or expose it as an interface. One robot joint, for instance, includes a script that detects human touch and rotates the relevant angle in accordance with controller movement. The script includes this function as an inherent characteristic of the robot joint. Simultaneously, the primary interface for controlling joint rotation is made available for UI and scene management scripts. This interface accepts the rotation angle; advanced scripts handle complicated data processing and computations.

Robot forward kinematics model

Following the calibration of the drive in the front drive to achieve closed-loop control, the forward kinematics model of the robot is mostly employed for the digital twin model. Through the use of the digital twin model, the robot's D-H parameters are acquired. The motion equation is then solved using the connecting rod's coordinate system and its transformation, and the robot's kinematic model is created (Kucuk and Bingul, 2006).

Take the i-th link of the robot, the upper two joints are the i-th joint, the i-th +1 joint, and the origin of the link coordinate is located at the i-th joint of the starting joint. Obtain the transformation matrix from the i-th link coordinate system A_i to the i + 1-th link coordinate system A_{i+1} .

$$_{i+1}^{i}T = R(X, \alpha_{i})Tran(l_{i}, 0, 0)R(Z, \theta_{i})Tran(0, 0, d_{i})$$

Tran(x, y, z) is the translation matrix:

$$Tran(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \mathbf{y} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $R(X, \theta)$ is the rotation matrix:

$$R(X,\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute to get its transformed homogeneous matrix:



$$\begin{array}{c} \cos\theta_{i+1} & -\sin\theta_{i+1} & 0 & \alpha_i \\ \sin\theta_{i+1}\cos\alpha_i & \cos\theta_{i+1}\cos\alpha_i & -\sin\alpha_i & -\sin(\alpha_i)d_i \\ \sin\theta_{i+1}\sin\alpha_i & \cos\theta_{i+1}\sin\alpha_i & \cos\alpha_i & \cos(\alpha_i)d_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

Multiplying the transformation matrices of all joints between any two joints corresponds to the transformation homogeneous matrix between the two joints:

$${}^{i}_{j}T = \left[\begin{array}{cc} {}^{i}_{j}R & {}^{i}_{j}P \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

In the formula, the 3×3 matrix $_j^iR$ is the rotation matrix, and the vector $_j^iP$ is the position coordinate of the end of the transformation joint relative to the starting end. The above transformation matrix establishes the forward kinematics mathematical model of the robot. The pose data of the end effector can be acquired by passing any transformation matrix into the model.

Robot inverse kinematics model

The inverse kinematics model is mainly exploited in the inverse drive, and the angle of each joint is calculated through the position of the end effector (KuCuk and Bingul, 2004). When performing inverse kinematics on complex bone chains, its analytical solution cannot be computed due to performance requirements (Wei et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019). Therefore, computing its numerical solution using cyclic coordinate descent (CCD) is an efficient alternative. It can efficiently provide approximate solutions and keep iterating, solve the real-time interaction of the operator and drive the robot to move along the specified trajectory (Kenwright, 2012; Guo et al., 2019). Optimizing the distance between the end effector and the

| ID | Setting error (mm) | Iteration frequency (time/frame) | Iteration time (s) | Error (mm) |
|----|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1 | 0.02 | 50 | 2.7 | 0.0061 |
| 2 | 0.02 | 50 | 3.2 | 0.0161 |
| 3 | 0.02 | 100 | 3.5 | 0.0047 |
| 4 | 0.02 | 100 | 2.9 | 0.0126 |
| 5 | 0.01 | 100 | 4.2 | 0.0080 |
| 6 | 0.01 | 100 | 3.6 | 0.0136 |

TABLE 1 Inverse kinematics drive system error.

target location is the result of solving inverse kinematics. Each joint's motion limit is provided in the digital twin model, and the right rotation angle is computed using the weight assigned to each joint's limit information. It turns the end effector around its predetermined rotation axis by a certain angle like a revolute joint. The intersection of the spinning arc and the line segment from the circle's centre to the target is the place on the arc closest to the target, as seen in Figure 3.

Knowing the current joint position y, the current end effector position e and the target position t are known, find the rotation angle α required by the joint, that is, rotate (e-j) to the (t-j) vector, and convert the problem into two vectors. The two-dimensional vector rotation on the plane where it is located, it is straightforward to obtain the cosine value of α :

Knowing the current joint position j, the current end effector position e and the target position t, find the rotation angle that will rotate (e - j) to the (t - j) vector, and it is easy to obtain the cosine value of α :

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{(e-j) \cdot (t-j)}{|e-j||t-j|}$$

The sine of α is:

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{\left(e_x - j_x\right) \cdot \left(t_y - j_y\right) - \left(e_y - j_y\right) \cdot \left(t_x - j_x\right)}{\left|e - j\right|\left|t - j\right|}$$

According to each joint's calculated angle and weight, the joint is driven individually, starting from the end. The end effector keeps approaching the target point by iterating this procedure continuously. The loop stops when the distance between the end effector and the target position reaches the tolerance range or the number of iterations exceeds the specified range. When it is impossible to iterate to the permissible error range in one frame, a new round of CCD operation will be performed with the position in this frame as the starting value of the next frame. The error is shown in Table 1. When the iteration frequency is constant, the error is kept within 0.2 mm, which is an acceptable systematic error.

Teleoperating system

System structure

The teleoperating system consists of UI module, operation module, rendering module, and control module, as shown in Figure 4. The script invokes interface for interaction and data transmission between each module of the system, which ensures low coupling between each module. It is widely adapted to the complex requirements of the industrial site, and can easily empower secondary development.

The control module is a module that directly interacts with the digital twin model, obtains data from the digital twin model, responds to the driving of the digital twin model by other modules, and controls the digital twin model to complete the corresponding transformation. The control module configures an independent drive script for the digital twin model of each device in the scene. The script is data-driven with the digital twin model of each device and controlled by the control module.

The operation module is a component of the virtual reality device that responds to the operator's interaction with the scene and UI, transmits interaction data to the UI system, and drives the digital twin model through the control system. The operation module is event-driven, adaptable to a wide variety of controller types, and scalable.

The UI module is the system's interface for data visualisation and data-driven UI interfaces. After gathering operation data from the user in the module, the UI module enables the user to use the UI interface. The UI interface transmits data reflecting the user's activities to the control module, enabling driving of the digital twin model, and retrieves the pertinent data after driving to allow display of the data. It gives the operator unfettered access to real-time data in the virtual environment, allowing them to see and manipulate whatever kind of data they choose.

The digital twin's model and user interface are rendered by the rendering module, which use the Unity rendering pipeline and optimization strategies like foveated rendering to improve picture rendering quality and immerse operators in the experience.



Due to the low degree of connection between modules and the high degree of encapsulation and integration within the modules, the addition of digital twin models, the modification of interaction logic, and the modification of data processing processes will have no effect on other modules. To complete the inclusion of a new digital twin model, for instance, it is required to import the associated digital twin model into the virtual environment through its control script and to add the corresponding data synchronisation code and driver code to the control module. Since the installation of a new model requires just modifications to the control module, it has no effect on the rest of the system or other digital twins.

Drive method

The driving methods of the system include forward driving of a single joint using the forward dynamics of the robot, reverse driving of each joint of the robot by altering the end effector of the robot using the inverse kinematics of the robot, and the combined driving of the two.

The forward drive requires just one joint, which is reminiscent of how real robots move. As the parent joint is rotated by the operator, it in turn causes the rotation of all of the child joints connected to it along the rotation axis. The operator grasps the selected joint with the controller, rotates the controller around the joint rotation axis to rotate the joint, and activates the relevant interface *via* UI interaction; this is the driving technique (Kebria et al., 2018). In this setup, the robot's attitude is changed by moving only one joint forward and backward.

Effectively estimating the attitude of each robot joint is done using the back-drive method. The operator controls the actuator to move along the predetermined path or to the specified place by grasping the digital twin's end effector. The recalculated actuator position is sent into an inverse dynamics mathematical model, which in turn calculates the joint angles needed to move the robot's joints to the new position. To provide a more straightforward and natural robot programming control than is possible with the current control, this system relies primarily on the inverse driving methodology, which intuitively regulates the posture of the end effector.

To determine the location of the end effector, the angle of each robot joint may be more accurately regulated, and the robot's total posture can be modified. This system implements a control mode that combines two driving modes. After the operator directs the robot to the desired position using inverse kinematics, he may independently control any joint angle. At this stage, the remaining joints will be handled using inverse kinematics so that the robot's stance may be modified more precisely at each joint. Since the driving of the joints by the inverse kinematics solution will effect the user's forward drive in this process, the parameters of the inverse kinematics solution will be updated accordingly during the driving process, and the



entry accuracy will need less error. Adjust the weight of the driving joint to 0 in forgiving mode.

Following the conclusion of the forward drive, the system will invoke the high-precision inverse kinematics solution, restoring the weight of each joint to its default value once the solution is done. Furthermore, each joint has a locking feature. When the joint is locked, it cannot be driven in any manner by the user, and its weight in the inverse kinematics solution is decreased to 0 to guarantee that the joint angle does not change. After the operator has locked the given joint, it may be driven as needed to obtain finer-grained control.

Interactive method

This system supports both the standard controller interaction seen in VR and the more novel eye movement engagement made possible by the eye tracking capabilities of the HTC VIVE PRO EYE. Figure 5 depicts the various system-wide interaction techniques.

The controller interaction method is that the handheld controller is in contact with the object to be interacted with, and then the interaction is realized by pressing different buttons and the handheld controller performing specified movements. In order to ensure the intuition and efficiency of the interaction method, the model of the controller in the virtual environment is replaced with the model of the human hand, which is used as the mapping of the user's hands in reality, and the corresponding changes are realized in response to the operation of the operator using the controller. The interactive method of moving the control through the controller is closer to the operator's intuition. Taking the operator controlling the rotation of a specified joint as an example, the user stretches the palm in the virtual environment to the joint, presses the triggers at the index finger and middle finger, holds the joint, and rotates the palm in the virtual environment along the center of the joint to the desired position. Location is necessary.

To further facilitate interactions with far-off UI elements and objects, a ray is sent out from the controller's peak, capturing the first collider it encounters and acquiring the item at that location as the target object. The eye movement interaction method is through eye tracking. The operator selects a button in the UI by looking at it, and then confirms the selection using the buttons on the VR controller. After the gaze delay trigger is enabled, the operator gazes at the target button or the target robot joint for a certain period of time, and the object to be gazed at will be automatically selected. The eye-tracking interaction method has been evaluated to demonstrate its usability and performance as a substitute to the controller interaction method (Dong et al., 2020). It has a smaller activity requirement, and shows better robustness in interaction efficiency and response to target path changes (Agarkhed et al., 2020), which dramatically improves the interaction efficiency of conventional interaction methods.

Eye tracking using HTC VIVE PRO EYE has an error within $\pm 3^{\circ}$ and a maximum time error of about 58 ms when the user's head is not moving. However, due to head movement, its accuracy is greatly reduced, and the quantity of data loss is doubled. When the gaze point is far away from the center point, the error value will be greatly increased (Luro and Sundstedt, 2019). Since the operator needs to observe and operate at the same time, the eye movement interaction method still needs to be combined with the controller interaction method in order to better exert its advantages (Yu et al., 2021).

The combination of eye movement interaction and controller provides users with a flexible multi-sensory multi-input collaborative interaction method. It realizes the joint driving of employing multiple interaction methods simultaneously. During the interaction, the user can flexibly use the eye movement and the two-hand controller to perform three operations simultaneously, including driving different joints, performing UI interaction, and moving the user and environmental objects. The eye movement interaction method will select whether to enable a long gaze according to the working state of the two-hand controller. When all the two-hand controllers are working, they enable comprehensive gaze selection, and the user can select or interact by looking at the object or at the specified time in the UI. When a controller is in an idle state, the default long-gaze selection function is disabled, and the user can interact with the idle controller after looking at it. After selecting an object through eye movement interaction, it can be manipulated to move on a fixed plane, and each object defaults to its horizontal plane. In the interaction, the interaction priority of the controller is higher than the eye movement interaction, and the eye movement interaction will only be detected when the object does not interact with the controller. The functions mentioned earlier can be customized on the UI interface, providing users with varying operating habits with more flexible and efficient interaction methods. The interaction method simplifies the driving method in the virtual reality space and optimizes the operation experience.

Eye tracking method

When using the eye tracking feature of HTC VIVE PRO EYE, an image of your eyes is captured, and derived data is immediately generated, including eye gaze information, pupil size, and whether the eyes are open or closed. Based on these data, the system realizes the positioning and tracking of the user's sight to realize the eye movement interaction and the analysis of related data.

To implement an interactive method using eye tracking, the 3D gaze vector needs to be calculated from the subject's eye to its gaze direction to determine where the user is looking in the

virtual space. When performing pupil detection, the eye tracker can theoretically calculate the intersection of the two eyes and the depth through the divergence of the eyes. However, it requires precision that eye trackers cannot achieve in VR headsets. For example, when trying to distinguish between an object with a gaze distance of 20 m and an infinite distance based on gaze divergence, the distance between the eyes is 70 mm. At this time, the deviation of the eyeballs is only 0.2° from direct vision, which is difficult to judge. Therefore relative depth estimation at more significant distances is impracticable.

To this end, the eye gaze model is streamlined. In this system, it is guaranteed that the positions of all objects in the virtual world are known, and the gaze depth typically only stops at the surface of the first gazed object. A three-dimensional eyeball model can be obtained through the VR headset, allowing the distance between the eye and the object to be determined. The gaze vector is calculated from the horizontal and vertical coordinates of the subject's eyes, yielding a sub-object as a reference object that moves within its subcoordinate system based on eye movement and head movement. The vector from the head position *via* this reference object represents the gaze vector in three-dimensional space.

After computing the gaze vector, the distance from the eye to the observed object is determined, which involves identifying the intersection of this vector and the item in the virtual world. Shoot a ray from the midway of the eyes in the direction of the gaze vector, and colliders are available for objects that may be gazeselected. The size and form of the collider may also be adjusted to regulate the interactive experience of various items. Get the object where the collider is and the ray's length after the rays collide and intersect. Tags may be added to objects simultaneously to identify multiple colliders and produce unique interaction effects.

Foveated rendering

When using virtual reality programs, image rendering performance requirements are higher than conventional reality methods. When the frame rate and resolution of the image cannot satisfy the specifications, the immersion of the virtual reality will be diminished, which may easily cause the operator to experience discomfort such as motion sickness (Meng et al., 2020; Jabbireddy et al., 2022). Therefore, it is vital to minimise virtual reality's image rendering performance requirements as much as possible.

This system employs a foveated rendering method based on eye tracking. Foveated rendering does not dramatically influence the visual effect by utilizing the quality of the image farther away from the foveated point. It does not need to perform a complete rendering stack on each pixel during rendering (Kaplanyan et al., 2019). Full-resolution content is rendered at the center of the foveation. The periphery is generated at the sparse resolution, interpolated or backfilled, reducing the number of pixels



calculated by the fragment shader. Improve overall rendering performance while conserving high visual fidelity.

Studies have shown that 50–70 ms latency is acceptable in foveated rendering (Albert et al., 2017). Eye tracking utilising HTC VIVE PRO EYE has an inaccuracy within $\pm 3^{\circ}$ and a maximum time error of about 58 ms when the user's head is not moving, which meets the requirements (Sipatchin et al., 2020). Convert the Cartesian coordinate system centered on the gaze point to a log-polar coordinate system, as illustrated in Figure 6.

$$u = \frac{\log\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{L} \cdot w$$
$$v = \frac{\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x} + 1\left[y < 0\right] \cdot 2\pi\right)}{2\pi} \cdot h$$

At this time, the closer to the center of the circle in the Cartesian coordinate system, the larger the area occupied after conversion, that is, the greater the retention rate of information. Reverting each pixel in the log-polar coordinate system to the Cartesian coordinate system again results in a rendered image with the gaze point as the center, and the pixel density progressively decreases as the distance from the center increases.

In order to better adjust the rendering effect, a kernel function K(u) is incorporated into the abscissa of the logpolar coordinate, and the distribution of the visual cells of the human eye is simulated by adjusting the kernel function to achieve a more natural and high-quality rendering effect.

$$K(u) = \sigma \cdot u^a$$

The overall pixel distribution density of the image can be controlled by adjusting σ , and adjusting α will change the distribution law of pixels surrounding the fixation point. Decrease α , the pixel density of points close to the fixation point increases, and the pixel density of points far away from the fixation point decreases. By modifying the two parameters of the kernel function, the pixel point allocation scheme, that is, most suitable for the distribution of human visual cells can be obtained according to various performance requirements (Mohanto et al., 2022). The rendering effect comparison is shown in Figure 7.

Compared with the forward rendering pipeline, this system's foveated rendering method reduces the load in the rasterization and fragment shader stages by 32%. In the process of image rendering, its performance is improved substantially. Although the leading overhead of the pipeline is still in the processing of the geometry in the scene when rendering virtual scenes, it still has a specific improvement in the rendering frame rate, reducing the GPU load, so that the system can support high-definition rendering of more sophisticated scenes. The rendering performance improvement results are shown in Table 2. The rendering time of a single image is reduced to 68% of the standard rendering method, and the rendering time of 1000 frames in a 3D scene is trimmed by 12.4% compared to the standard rendering method. Consequently, the foveated rendering algorithm increases the display effect of the system, improves the sense of immersion, and lessens the discomfort such as dizziness generated by the operator's unsatisfactory frame rate and resolution.



TABLE 2 The speed improvements for foveated rendering.

| Render object | Rendering time of basic method per frame (ms) | Rendering time of foveated rendering per frame (ms) | Value of σ | Speedup |
|--------------------|---|---|-------------------|---------------|
| 1080 × 1200 | 35.63 | 22.84 | 1.5 | 1.56× |
| 1080 × 1200 | 34.82 | 19.63 | 2.5 | 1.77× |
| 1080 × 1200 | 34.39 | 16.64 | 3.0 | 2.10× |
| 1440×1600 | 52.37 | 28.46 | 1.5 | $1.84 \times$ |
| 1440×1600 | 55.24 | 20.76 | 2.5 | 2.66× |
| 1440 × 1600 | 54.77 | 17.61 | 3.0 | 3.11× |

Conclusion

By constructing the digital twin model of the robot's working environment and the mathematical model between the various joints of the robot, the system implements the hybrid drive of the robot using the hybrid drive of data and model in the virtual reality environment. It can accommodate robots' remote drive programming requirements in various industrial environments. It provides users with a highly immersive remote teleoperation environment, enabling them to obtain an immersive operating experience in a safe and comfortable environment. Simultaneously, the complexity of robot operation and programming is considerably simplified through the digital twin model and algorithm driving, making it intuitive and efficient.

The subsequent research will continue to deepen the virtual reality interaction method through various controllers, enrich the human-computer interaction method of the teleoperating system, and optimize the interaction experience. Optimize the configuration method of the digital twin model to adapt it to increasingly complicated production environments and operating conditions. Improve the maturity of virtual reality robot operating systems in manufacturing applications.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

XL is the main implementer of this paper, responsible for the development of the software, the construction of the experimental bench and the writing of the paper. LN is the supervisor of this paper, and guides the research content and experimental methods. YL assisted in the construction of the experimental bench and the conduct of the experiments. JH assisted with the experiments. JL directed and assisted in the construction of the experimental bench. TK is the corresponding author of this paper and the person in charge of the project to

References

Agarkhed, J., Kulkarni, A., Hiroli, N., Kulkarni, J., Jagde, A., and Pukale, A. (2020). "Human computer interaction system using eye-tracking features," in 2020 IEEE Bangalore humanitarian technology conference (B-HTC): IEEE), 1–5.

Aheleroff, S., Xu, X., Zhong, R. Y., and Lu, Y. (2021). Digital twin as a service (DTaaS) in industry 4.0: An architecture reference model. *Adv. Eng. Inf.* 47, 101225. doi:10.1016/j.aei.2020.101225

Albert, R., Patney, A., Luebke, D., and Kim, J. (2017). Latency requirements for foveated rendering in virtual reality. *ACM Trans. Appl. Percept.* 14 (4), 1–13. doi:10. 1145/3127589

Chen, Y., Luo, X., Han, B., Jia, Y., Liang, G., and Wang, X. (2019). A general approach based on Newton's method and cyclic coordinate descent method for solving the inverse kinematics. *Appl. Sci.* 9 (24), 5461. doi:10.3390/app9245461

Dong, M., Gao, Z., and Liu, L. (2020). "Hybrid model of eye movement behavior recognition for virtual workshop," in *International conference on man-machine-environment system engineering* (Springer), 219–227.

Garg, G., Kuts, V., and Anbarjafari, G. (2021). Digital twin for fanuc robots: Industrial robot programming and simulation using virtual reality. *Sustainability* 13 (18), 10336. doi:10.3390/su131810336

Gonzalez Lopez, J. M., Jimenez Betancourt, R. O., Ramirez Arredondo, J. M., Villalvazo Laureano, E., and Rodriguez Haro, F. (2019). Incorporating virtual reality into the teaching and training of Grid-Tie photovoltaic power plants design. *Appl. Sci.* 9 (21), 4480. doi:10.3390/app9214480

Guo, Z., Huang, J., Ren, W., and Wang, C. (2019). ""A reinforcement learning approach for inverse kinematics of arm robot," in *Proceedings of the 2019 4th international conference on robotics, control and automation*, 95–99.

He, F., Liu, Y., Zhan, W., Xu, Q., and Chen, X. (2022). Manual operation evaluation based on vectorized spatio-temporal graph convolutional for virtual reality training in smart grid. *Energies* 15 (6), 2071. doi:10.3390/en15062071

Hecker, D., Faßbender, M., and Zdrallek, M. (2021). The implementation of immersive virtual reality trainings for the operation of the distribution griddevelopment and integration of two operational use cases.

Jabbireddy, S., Sun, X., Meng, X., and Varshney, A. (2022). Foveated rendering: Motivation, taxonomy, and research directions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04529.

Jones, D., Snider, C., Nassehi, A., Yon, J., and Hicks, B. (2020). Characterising the digital twin: A systematic literature review. *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.* 29, 36–52. doi:10.1016/j.cirpj.2020.02.002

Kaplanyan, A. S., Sochenov, A., Leimkühler, T., Okunev, M., Goodall, T., and Rufo, G. (2019). DeepFovea: Neural reconstruction for foveated rendering and

which the paper belongs, and has guided the research content of this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

video compression using learned statistics of natural videos. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 38 (6), 1–13. doi:10.1145/3355089.3356557

Kebria, P. M., Abdi, H., Dalvand, M. M., Khosravi, A., and Nahavandi, S. (2018). Control methods for internet-based teleoperation systems: A review. *IEEE Trans. Hum. Mach. Syst.* 49 (1), 32–46. doi:10.1109/thms.2018.2878815

Kenwright, B. (2012). Inverse kinematics-cyclic coordinate descent (CCD). J. Graph. Tools 16 (4), 177-217. doi:10.1080/2165347x.2013.823362

Kucuk, S., and Bingul, Z. (2006). Robot kinematics: Forward and inverse kinematics. UK: INTECH Open Access Publisher London.

KuCuk, S., and Bingul, Z. (2004). "The inverse kinematics solutions of industrial robot manipulators," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on mechatronics* (ICM'04IEEE), 274–279.

Liu, D., Guo, K., Wang, B., and Peng, Y. (2018). Summary and perspective survey on digital twin technology. *Chin. J. Sci. Instrum.* 39 (11), 1–10. doi:10.19650/j.cnki. cjsi.J1804099

Liu, M., Fang, S., Dong, H., and Xu, C. (2021). Review of digital twin about concepts, technologies, and industrial applications. *J. Manuf. Syst.* 58, 346–361. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.06.017

Lu, Y., Liu, C., Kevin, I., Wang, K., Huang, H., and Xu, X. (2020). Digital Twindriven smart manufacturing: Connotation, reference model, applications and research issues. *Robotics Computer-Integrated Manuf.* 61, 101837. doi:10.1016/j. rcim.2019.101837

Luro, F. L., and Sundstedt, V. (2019). "A comparative study of eye tracking and hand controller for aiming tasks in virtual reality," in Proceedings of the 11th ACM Symposium on eye tracking research & applications), 1–9.

Malik, A. A., Masood, T., and Bilberg, A. (2020). Virtual reality in manufacturing: Immersive and collaborative artificial-reality in design of human-robot workspace. *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.* 33 (1), 22–37. doi:10.1080/0951192x.2019.1690685

Matsas, E., and Vosniakos, G.-C. (2017). Design of a virtual reality training system for human-robot collaboration in manufacturing tasks. *Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf.* 11 (2), 139–153. doi:10.1007/s12008-015-0259-2

Meng, X., Du, R., and Varshney, A. (2020). Eye-dominance-guided foveated rendering. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* 26 (5), 1972–1980. doi:10.1109/tvcg. 2020.2973442

Mohanto, B., Islam, A. T., Gobbetti, E., and Staadt, O. (2022). An integrative view of foveated rendering. *Comput. Graph.* 102, 474–501. doi:10.1016/j.cag.2021.10.010

Pan, Z., Polden, J., Larkin, N., Van Duin, S., and Norrish, J. (2012). Recent progress on programming methods for industrial robots. *Robotics Computer-Integrated Manuf.* 28 (2), 87–94. doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2011.08.004

Peppoloni, L., Brizzi, F., Avizzano, C. A., and Ruffaldi, E. (2015). "Immersive ROS-integrated framework for robot teleoperation," in *IEEE symposium on 3D user interfaces (3DUI)* (IEEE), 177–178.

Pérez, L., Diez, E., Usamentiaga, R., and García, D. F. (2019). Industrial robot control and operator training using virtual reality interfaces. *Comput. Industry* 109, 114–120. doi:10.1016/j.compind.2019.05.001

Schäffer, E., Metzner, M., Pawlowskij, D., and Franke, J. (2021). Seven Levels of Detail to structure use cases and interaction mechanism for the development of industrial Virtual Reality applications within the context of planning and configuration of robot-based automation solutions. *Procedia CIRP* 96, 284–289. doi:10.1016/j.procir.2021.01.088

Schmidt, M., Köppinger, K., Fan, C., Kowalewski, K.-F., Schmidt, L., Vey, J., et al. (2021). Virtual reality simulation in robot-assisted surgery: meta-analysis of skill transfer and predictability of skill. *BJS open* 5 (2), zraa066. doi:10.1093/bjsopen/zraa066

Sipatchin, A., Wahl, S., and Rifai, K. (2020). Eye-tracking for low vision with virtual reality (VR): Testing status quo usability of the HTC vive pro. *Eye. Biorxiv*.

Tao, F., Liu, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Liu, Q., Qu, T., et al. (2018). Digital twin and its potential application exploration. *Comput. Integr. Manuf. Syst.* 24 (01), 1–18. doi:10.13196/j.cims.2018.01.001

Tao, F., Liu, W., Zhang, M., Hu, T., Qi, q., Zhang, H., et al. (2019). Five-dimension digital twin model and its ten applications. *Comput. Integr. Manuf. Syst.* 25 (01), 1–18. doi:10.13196/j.cims.2019.01.001

Togias, T., Gkournelos, C., Angelakis, P., Michalos, G., and Makris, S. (2021). Virtual reality environment for industrial robot control and path design. *Procedia CIRP* 100, 133–138. doi:10.1016/j.procir.2021.05.021

Wang, B., Huang, S., Yi, B., and Bao, J. (2020). State-of-art of human factors/ ergonomics in intelligent manufacturing. *J. Mech. Eng.* 56 (16), 240–253. doi:10. 3901/jme.2020.16.240

Wang, Q., Jiao, W., Yu, R., Johnson, M. T., and Zhang, Y. (2019). Virtual reality robot-assisted welding based on human intention recognition. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.* 17 (2), 799–808. doi:10.1109/tase.2019.2945607

Wang, T., Ku, T., Zhu, Y., and Yu, H. (2017). Smart manufacturing space. Inf. Control 46 (06), 641-645. doi:10.13976/j.cnki.xk.2017.0641

Wei, D., Huang, B., and Li, Q. (2021). Multi-view merging for robot teleoperation with virtual reality. *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.* 6 (4), 8537–8544. doi:10.1109/lra. 2021.3109348

Wei, Y., Jian, S., He, S., and Wang, Z. (2014). General approach for inverse kinematics of nR robots. *Mech. Mach. Theory* 75, 97–106. doi:10.1016/j. mechmachtheory.2014.01.008

Xiong, J., Hsiang, E.-L., He, Z., Zhan, T., and Wu, S.-T. (2021). Augmented reality and virtual reality displays: Emerging technologies and future perspectives. *Light. Sci. Appl.* 10 (1), 216–230. doi:10.1038/s41377-021-00658-8

Yanhong, F., Bin, W., Fengjuan, H., and Wenqiang, T. (2014). "Research on teleoperation surgery simulation system based on virtual reality," in *Proceeding of the 11th world congress on intelligent control and automation* (IEEE), 5830–5834.

Yu, N., Nan, L., and Ku, T. (2021). Robot hand-eye cooperation based on improved inverse reinforcement learning. *Industrial Robot Int. J. robotics Res.* Appl. 49, 877–884. doi:10.1108/ir-09-2021-0208

Zhan, T., Yin, K., Xiong, J., He, Z., and Wu, S.-T. (2020). Augmented reality and virtual reality displays: Perspectives and challenges. *Iscience* 23 (8), 101397. doi:10. 1016/j.isci.2020.101397