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Abstract—Extensive research works demonstrate that the attention mechanism in convolutional neural networks (CNNs) effectively improves accuracy. But little works design attention mechanisms using large receptive fields. In this work, we propose a novel attention method named Rega-net to increase CNN accuracy by enlarging the receptive field. Inspired by the mechanism of the human retina, we design convolutional kernels to resemble the non-uniformly distributed structure of the human retina. Then, we sample variable-resolution values in the Gabor function distribution and fill these values in retina-like kernels. This distribution allows important features to be more visible in the center position of the receptive field. We further design an attention module including these retina-like kernels. Experiments demonstrate that our Rega-Net achieves 79.963% top-1 accuracy on ImageNet-1K classification and 43.1% mAP on COCO2017 object detection. The mAP of the Rega-Net increased by up to 3.5% compared to baseline networks.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Deep learning networks are now being used in various fields related to compute vision, such as image recognition, object detection and recognition, image dehazing, and 3D vision. For image recognition deep learning networks, accuracy is one of the most important evaluation metrics. There are various methods to improve the accuracy of neural networks, such as images or videos pre-processing, adding network training tricks or attention mechanisms. Attention mechanisms have been introduced into computer vision systems inspired by the human visual system. We generally put attention modules into the part of feature extraction to increase the network’s accuracy. In recent years there has been a great deal of work devoted to the design of attention modules for computer vision.

In convolutional neural networks, we use large numbers of filters with various properties to extract features. These filters perform a sliding window operation on the image. And then we train the networks with large-scale data to obtain the model parameters that fit the dataset best. However, such an operation also has a mass of redundant features. We notice that this sliding scan of the convolutional kernel is like the scanning behavior of human eyes. Therefore, we analyze the properties of the human eye. The attention of our human eye has the advantage of high central resolution and low peripheral resolution. Compared to this variable resolution structure, the contributions of conventional convolution kernels are at the same level, which is hard to distinguish various features.

Based on these above observations, we propose a novel retina-like convolution method and attention mechanism in this letter, namely, Rega-Net. We design convolutional kernels in such a way that weights contribute highly in the center and lowly in the periphery. In general, we cannot artificially interfere with the distribution of functions in convolutional kernels during network training. It is unreasonable to fill in this retina-like structure the parameters obtained by training with normal initialization methods. So we came up with the Gabor function, which is similar to the human vision mechanism. We make convolutional kernel masks in imitation of the human eye variable resolution property, and sample it over the distribution of the Gabor function. At last, we fill the sampled values as the weights of convolutional kernels.

In conventional convolution, if the values of kernel edges are missing, the network becomes less capable of detecting objects that are at the edges. Thus, we make this convolution into the form of a feature attention module. This approach
adds additional Retina-like Gabor features extracted by central kernels, without changing the original feature extraction of the convolutional neural network. The contributions of this article are mainly in three aspects:

1) We propose a novel structure of circular convolutional kernels combined with the properties of the retina-like variable resolution. These retina-like convolutional kernels allow important information to be more visible in the center position of the receptive field. At the same time, we make the retinal convolutional kernel parameters follow the Gabor function distribution, which expand the receptive field of the convolutional neural network (CNN) when extracting features.

2) We design the above retina-like convolutional kernel as a retina attention structure, which is capable of extracting deeper features as well as multi-scale features. Through experimental validation on ImageNet-1k [19] and MS COCO [30] datasets, we demonstrate that this structure achieves higher accuracy compared to the conventional attention module.

3) Our proposed Retina Gabor attention method is a plug-and-play module that can be applied to various deep learning tasks, such as image classification, object detection, and recognition, semantic segmentation, etc.

II. RELATED WORKS

**Gabor Filter**. The Gabor filter is similar to the human visual system in terms of frequency and directional characteristics. There is also a large body of research work on Gabor function in the field of computer vision. The calculation process of the Gabor function operation of the image is shown in Eq.(1) [27, 31, 32].

$$g(x, y, \omega, \varphi, \sigma) = \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp(i(\omega x' + \varphi)) \tag{1}$$

$$x' = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta, y' = -x\cos\theta + y\cos\theta \tag{2}$$

Where, $(x, y)$ is the spatial position of the pixel on the image. Here, we only use the real part of the function as shown in Eq. (4). $\omega$ is the central angular frequency of a sinusoidal plane wave, $\theta$ is the anti-clockwise rotation of the Gabor function (the orientation of the Gabor filter), $\sigma$ is the sharpness of the Gabor function along with both $x$ and $y$ directions. In terms of the calculation, we treat it in the same way as [28]. Normally, we take $\theta = \pi/\omega$. And $\varphi$ follow the distribution $U(0, \pi)$.

$$g(x, y, \omega, \varphi, \sigma) = \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp(i\omega x' + \varphi) \tag{3}$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{2}^{-(n-1)}, \theta_m = \frac{\pi}{8}(m-1) \tag{4}$$

Where, $n = 1, 2, ..., 5$, $m = 1, 2, ..., 8$.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Rega Kernel

Inspired by the non-uniform sampling of the human eye, we adopt a retina-like design for the structure of convolutional kernels and propose the design idea of the Rega kernel. As shown in Fig.2, we first generate a non-uniform numerical mask $M$. This structure is capable of forming a circular-like mask. The size of the mask is $7 \times 7$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$. Depending on the radius of the circle, we fill convolutional kernels with the value of 0 or 1. When the mask value is 1, the original convolutional kernel value is retained in that position. When the mask value is 0, it means that the effect of the convolutional kernel at that position is reduced or removed. In Fig. 3, we refer to the value of the convolutional kernel that satisfies the condition $r_1 < r \leq r_2$ as the One-gate Activation Point (OAP), which denotes the sampling point closest to the edge. The sampled points of the convolutional kernel like the OAP contribute very little value to the overall feature maps. The sampling points that satisfy the condition $r \leq r_1$ are called Two-gate Activation Points (TAP), and these points are the activation points that can be sampled for more important information after one round of filtering. Where $r$ is the distance from the coordinates of the center point of the surrounding points, $r_2$ is $1/2$ the size of the convolutional kernel, and $r_1$ is the distance of the inner layer. The middle position of the convolutional kernel, which we call Fovea Point (FP), indicates the point that contributes most to the feature sampling of the feature map. We call FP, TAP, and OAP activation points. This structure is similar to the human retina variable-resolution structure. This design differs from the dilated convolution [33, 34]. The contributions of dilated convolutional kernels are homogeneous. And this uniform sampling increases the size of the receptive field. Our proposed Rega kernel retains the advantage of the increased receptive field like dilated convolution, while aggregating the information in convolutional kernels. The values in retina-like masks are calculated as shown in Eq. (5).

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
1, & r_1 < r \leq r_2 \text{ and } r \leq r_1 \\
0, & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Where $M_{i,j}$ is the values of the retina mask at the position $(i, j)$. We have two considerations in the design of the retina-like mask. As shown in Fig.3(a), we set all points other than activation points to 0. This motivation is to remove the influence of non-activation points. Thus, the interference of weakly correlated features can be removed during training. In Fig.3(b) we set the values of the non-activation points to 1. The values of the original positions are preserved in this way. So this method is a soft enhancement, without hard pruning like Fig.3(a). However, this structure in Fig.3(b) also brings some disadvantages. For instance, the number of FLOPs to calculate the parameters during the training process will increase and the gradient calculation will be more complicated. Taking into
account the above factors, we design the convolutional kernel masks in the manner of Fig. 3(a) in this work.

In the above, we have completed the design of Retina masks. Then, we consider filling masks with trainable parameters. The structural parameters of retina masks (like 0, 1) are not involved in the gradient calculation in this work. We have two ways to fill values in Retina masks. One way is to initialize the trainable parameters with random initialization. The other way is to let parameters follow a specific distribution. As shown in Fig. 2 we follow the sampling rule of the Retina mask to pick up the points for filling in the convolutional kernels, which combines Gabor functions with Retina masks. It is worth noting that the parameters of the Gabor function we used are all trainable parameters. For the generation of Gabor convolutional kernels, we refer to [27]. Suppose the convolutional kernel is shown in Fig. 2. We follow the sampling rule of the Retina mask to pick up the points for filling in the convolutional kernels, which combines Gabor functions with Retina masks. It is worth noting that the parameters of the Gabor function we used are all trainable parameters. For the generation of Gabor convolutional kernels, we refer to [27]. Suppose the convolutional kernel is shown in Fig. 2.

The calculation of Rega attention is shown in Eq. (8).

\[ R_a(F_{C_i}) = \sigma(AvgPool(RegaConv(F_{C_i}, \hat{K}))) = \sigma(AvgPool(\hat{F}_{C_i})) \]  

(8)

Where \( R_a(F_{C_i}) \) is the attention feature maps of the layer \( C_i \). In the structure of Fig. 4(a), we adopt a skipped layer of residual connections. We input the feature maps of \( C_1 \) layer and \( C_2 \) layer into the Rega attention module and obtain the attention feature maps of layers \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) respectively. Then we concatenate \( R_{C_1}(F_{C_1}) \) and \( R_{C_2}(F_{C_2}) \) with ResNet block’s final \( C_4 \) layer output feature maps. Finally, the 1×1 convolution operation \( (Conv_{1×1}) \) is used to integrate the final output channels to the same size as \( C_4 \).

The operation is shown in Eq. (11), where \( F_{output} \) is the final output feature map, \( F_{output} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_4 \times H_4 \times W_4} \).

\[ F_{output} = Conv_{1×1}(concat[R_{C_1}(F_{C_1}), R_{C_2}(F_{C_2}), C_4]) \]  

(11)

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Implementation Details

In the experiments, we evaluate Rega-Net on the standard benchmarks: ImageNet-1k for classification and MS COCO 2017 for object detection and recognition. To ensure the fairness of the experiments, we chose the PyTorch framework for the evaluation of all experiments.

Dataset. Our image classification experiments are all performed on the ImageNet-1K dataset which contains 1.28M training images and 50k validation images from 1000 classes. All object detection and recognition experiments are conducted on the challenging MS COCO 2017 dataset that includes 80 object classes. Following the common practice, all 115K images in the trainval35k split are used for training, and all 5K images in the minival split are used as validation for the analysis study.

Experiment Setup. Our networks are implemented using Python 3.8 and PyTorch 1.8.0. The Rega-Net and benchmark models’ training is conducted on 4 Geforce RTX 3080Ti GPUs. For the classification task, the learning rate is initially

Fig. 3. The structure of the Retina masks.

Fig. 4. The structure of the Rega-Net.
set as 0.01 and is decreased by a factor of 10 after every 30 epochs for 10 epochs in total. The optimization is performed by using the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) with a weight decay of 1e-4, the momentum is 0.9, and the batch size is 16 per GPU. We train networks on the training set and report the Top-1 and Top-5 accuracies on the validation set with a single 224×224 central crop. For object detection and recognition tasks, the learning rate is set as 1e-4. And we choose the MultiStepLR scheduler for the learning rate. The AdamW is used with a weight decay of 1e-3, the momentum is 0.9, and the batch size is 2 per GPU within 12 epochs. We follow the standard set of evaluating object detection via the standard average precision-ap metric at different box IoUs or object scales, respectively.

B. Ablation Study

For ablation study tasks, the structure we used is shown in Fig. 5. For reducing the complexity of the network, we do not use a multi-scale feature fusion structure. Therefore, our model reaches convergence in a relatively short time.

To verify the effectiveness of our designed Rega attention module, we first trained it in four residual blocks of ResNet-50, Layer1, Layer2, Layer3, and Layer4. And we placed Rega attention block after each block and tested it on the ImageNet-1K val dataset, the results are shown in Table I.

From Table I, we summarise that when we add Rega attention block to Layer4, the test accuracy is highest on the ImageNet-1K validation dataset. And the accuracy can be increased by at most 2.468% compared to the original without Rega attention block. Therefore, in the following experiments, we prefer to add Rega attention block to the last layer of feature maps extraction for feature enhancement.

C. Classification on ImageNet-1k

We conduct classification experiments on the ImageNet-1k dataset. The baseline we choose is ResNet-50 and ResNet-101. We compare our Rega-Net with some SOTA attention modules. And we choose the evaluation metrics include GFLOPs, Parameters, and accuracy (Top-1 and Top-5 accuracy). As shown in Table II, Rega-Net almost has the same parameters, but achieves 1.128% gains in terms of Top-1 accuracy and 3.322% improvement in terms of Top-5 accuracy (on ResNet-50) with SA-Net. When using the ResNet-101 backbone, compared with SOTA attention modules, Rega-Net has 1.02% accuracy (Top-1) improvement with SENet [20]. And compared with SA-Net [23], Rega-Net has 1.003% gains in terms of Top-1 accuracy and 1.06% gains in terms of Top-5 when choosing ResNet-101 as the backbone.

D. Object Detection and Recognition

We conduct object detection and recognition experiments on COCO 2017 benchmark. For the experiment, we reproduce FCOS [36], Faster R-CNN [37], YOLOv4 [38], and RetinaNet [39] in our PyTorch framework in order to estimate the performance improvement of Rega-Net. The experimental results are summarized in Table III. We can clearly see that Rega-Net improves the accuracy compared with SENet and SA-Net. We use mean AP (mAP) over different IoU thresholds from 0.5 to 0.95 for evaluation. We choose ResNet-50 and CSPDarknet-53 as the backbone. In the design of the comparison experiments, we first obtained the accuracy of the baseline model (without attention) by training. Next, we added SENet, SA-Net, and Rega-Net to the backbone and trained to obtain the accuracy of each of the four detectors.

V. CONCLUSION

We propose a novel method for designing convolutional kernels based on the retina-like principle in this letter. And we design a state-of-the-art attention mechanism named Rega-Net. Experimental results show that the proposed method increases Top-1 accuracy by up to 2.468% on image classification compared to the original network. The mAP is increased by up to 3.5% on object detection. The accuracy of CNN is effectively improved when compared with SOTA networks.